

# Noisy Diffie-Hellman protocols

Carlos Aguilar<sup>1</sup>, **Philippe Gaborit**<sup>1</sup>, Patrick Lacharme<sup>1</sup>,  
Julien Schrek<sup>1</sup> and Gilles Zémor<sup>2</sup>

1 University of Limoges, France,

2 University of Bordeaux, France.

# Classical Diffie-Hellman and quantum key distribution

- **Classical DH protocol** :  $g^a, g^b \rightarrow g^{ab}$

Hard problem : DH problem weaker than Discrete log pb.

- **Quantum key distribution**

There exists a quantum channel between A and B, after sending a sequence of bits A and B share a noisy sequence of bits.

2 steps :

- reconciliation : A and B exchange messages from their noisy common sequence and recover a common shared sequence of bits with very high proba
- privacy amplification : to get a larger common sequence.

## Security :

- the noisy common sequence is random from quantum arguments
  - remaining steps are information security based
- **considered as sure from a information theory point of view**

**classical Diffie-Hellman** : A and B share a common secret based on computational security

**quantum key distribution** : A and B share a noisy sequence based on information theory security

**Is it possible to mix these ideas and obtain a noisy shared sequence based on computational security and how to use it ?**

**How could this work ?**

# Noisy DH protocol

Suppose  $A$  is commutative ring with '+' and 'x' with a norm  $|\cdot|$ .

$h$  : a random element of  $A$

Alice chooses  $a$  and  $\alpha$  elements of  $A$  with small norm

Bob chooses  $b$  and  $\beta$  elements of  $A$  with small norm

**Alice sends**  $\rightarrow$  **Bob** :  $\sigma(a, \alpha) = ah + \alpha$

**Bob sends**  $\rightarrow$  **Alice** :  $\sigma(b, \beta) = bh + \beta$

From  $\sigma(b, \beta)$  Alice computes  $a\sigma(b, \beta) = abh + a\beta$

From  $\sigma(a, \alpha)$  Bob computes  $b\sigma(a, \alpha) = abh + b\alpha$

$\rightarrow$  **these two quantities differ by  $a\beta - b\alpha$  of small norm if  $a, b, \alpha$  and  $\beta$  are of small norm !**

# Practical example

The previous protocol can work for many rings, in practice one needs :

- **recovering  $a$  and  $\alpha$  from  $\sigma(a, \alpha)$  must be hard**
- **one needs to be able to decode in some way** Among many examples of application let us consider :

$$A = F_2[x]/(x^n - 1)$$

with Hamming distance.

In that case recovering  $a$  and  $\alpha$  from  $\sigma(a, \alpha) = ah + \alpha$  corresponds to be able to decode a random double circulant code with parity check matrix  $H = (I|h) : H \cdot (\alpha, a)^t = \sigma(a, \alpha)$ , with  $a \sim \alpha = O(\sqrt{n})$ .

# Decoding random double circulant codes

- The problem has been around in coding theory for 40 years → no general algorithm
- Interest in cryptography : NTRU (15 years), SternDC (5 years), Ring-LWE (this year)
- Decoding a random code for a weight  $t = O(\sqrt{n})$ , NP-hard (M. Finiasz PhD thesis)

→ **no structural specific attack in the general case except a linear factor.**

**for  $weight(a) = weight(\alpha) = w = O(\sqrt{n})$  best attack in  $n2^{2w}$**

**1. Decoding of random double circulant codes for errors of weight  $w$  in  $O(\sqrt{n})$  is of complexity  $n2^{2w}$**

**2. Weak noisy Diffie-Hellman problem**

From two syndromes  $ah + \alpha$  and  $bh + \beta$  it is difficult to recover  $hab$  completely.

**3. Strong noisy Diffie-Hellman problem**

From two syndromes  $ah + \alpha$  and  $bh + \beta$  it is difficult to recover a large part of the bits of  $hab$  (ie  $hab + e$ ).

**Remark** The two first assumptions are equivalent, the third is believed to be as hard as the first one.

# A toy protocol

**Information sharing step** : Alice and Bob exchange syndromes  $ah + \alpha$  and  $bh + \beta$ .

**Reconciliation step** Alice and Bob agree on a PUBLIC code  $C[n,k]$  of matrix  $G$ , and Alice sends to Bob  $c = mG + a(bh + \beta)$ , Bob decodes :

$$c + b(ah + \alpha) = mG + a\beta + b\alpha \text{ in } m.$$

Cannot work !

→ too much information in the reconciliation step.

**Number of unknowns** :  $n$  (coordinates of  $a$ ) +  $k$  ( from  $m$ )

**Number of equations** :  $n - k$  (size of dual matrix)

→ easy to solve since  $a$  is sparse.



## Two possibilities to make the previous system hard :

- 1 Decrease the information given in the reconciliation step by using a shorter code
- 2 Increasing the number of unknowns by adding an error  $e$  to  $c$

## Noisy Diffie-Hellman protocol

- 1 Alice and Bob agree on an integer  $n$  and  $h \in A =_2 [X]/(X^n - 1)$ .
- 2 Alice and Bob each choose  $a, \alpha$  and  $b, \beta$  of weight  $w$ , and exchange  $s_A = \sigma(a, \alpha) = ah + \alpha$  and  $s_B = \sigma(b, \beta) = bh + \beta$
- 3 Alice computes  $x^A = as_B$  and Bob computes  $x^B = bs_A$ .
- 4 Alice and Bob agree on  $m < \log \binom{n}{w}$  and a publicly known code  $C$  of length  $m$  and dimension  $k$ , which is able to decode enough errors.
- 5 Alice and Bob agree on random subset  $M$  of  $[1, n]$  of cardinality  $m$ . Alice chooses a random secret  $S \in \{0, 1\}^k$  and encodes it as a codeword  $c \in C$ . Alice sends Bob the vector of  $\{0, 1\}^m$

$$z = c + x_M^A$$

where  $x_M^A$  stands for the vector  $x^A$  restricted to the subset  $M$  of coordinate positions.

- 6 Bob computes  $z + x_M^B$ , applies to it the decoding algorithm for  $C$ , and recovers  $c$  hence  $S$ .

## Noisy El Gamal protocol

- 1 **Key generation** Alice chooses an integer  $n$ , a random element  $h$  of the ring  $A =_{\mathbb{2}} [X]/(X^n - 1)$ , two rings elements  $a, \alpha$  of Hamming weight  $w$  and as in a previous protocol an  $[m, k]$  code  $C$  with generator matrix  $G$  and a random subsequence  $M$  with  $m$  elements of  $[1, n]$ .

*Secret key* : the couple  $(a, \alpha)$ .

*Public Key* : the syndrome  $s_A = \sigma(a, \alpha) = ah + \alpha$ ,  $n$ ,  $h$ ,  $G$  and  $M$ .

- 2 **Encryption** Bob converts its message into message subsequences of length  $k$ . Let  $\mu$  be a length  $k$  message. Bob chooses random elements  $b, \beta$ , all of Hamming weight  $w$  and computes  $s_B = \sigma(b, \beta) = bh + \beta$  and the value  $z = \mu G + x_M^B$ , where  $x_M^B$  stands for the vector  $x^B = bs_A$  restricted to the subset  $M$ . The encrypted message is the couple :  $(s_B, z)$ .

- 3 **Decryption** Alice receives  $(s_B, z)$ , computes  $x^A = as_B$ ,  $z' = z + x_M^A$  and decodes  $z'$  into  $\mu G$  to recover  $\mu$ .

- When  $n$  is prime such that  $x^n - 1 = (1 + x)(1 + x + \dots + x^{n-1})$  multiplication by random  $h$  in  $A$  behave like an universal hash function
- If only a small number of position are given (corresponding to the entropy of the secret) then there is no leaking of information in the reconciliation step
- Classical results of *Benett, Brassard et al* in information theory :

## Theorem

*Under the intractability assumption on solving the noisy Diffie-Hellman problem, extracting any information on the shared secret requires from the eavesdropper a computational effort at least equal to  $n2^{2w-m+k}$*

→ **Information theory security reduction** → **NO information leaks in the reconciliation step if an attacker is not able to solve the noisy DH problem.**

## Noisy El Gamal with errors protocol

- 1 **Key generation** Alice chooses an integer  $n$ , a random element  $h$  of the ring  $A =_2 [X]/(X^n - 1)$ , two rings elements  $a, \alpha$  of Hamming weight  $w$  and as in the previous protocol a  $[n, k]$  code  $C$  with generator matrix  $G$  and a permutation  $P$  on the  $n$  coordinates.

*Secret key* : the couple  $(a, \alpha)$ .

*Public Key* : the syndrome  $s_A = \sigma(a, \alpha) = ah + \alpha$ ,  $n$ ,  $h$ ,  $G$  and  $P$ .

- 2 **Encryption** Bob converts its message into message subsequences of length  $k$ . Let  $\mu$  be a length  $k$  message. Bob chooses random elements  $b, \beta$ , all of Hamming weight  $w$  and computes  $s_B = \sigma(b, \beta) = bh + \beta$  and the value  $z = \mu G + x_P^B + e$ , where  $x_P^B$  stands for the permutation  $P$  applied to the vector  $x^B = bS^A$  and  $e$  is an error of weight  $t$ . The encrypted message is the couple :  $(s_B, z)$ .

- 3 **Decryption** Alice receives  $(s_B, z)$ , computes  $x^A$ ,  $z' = z + x_P^A$  and decodes  $z'$  into  $\mu G$  to recover  $\mu$ .

If one simply adds errors, no information theory based security, but system to solve with  $3n$  sparse unknowns,  $2n$  equations :  
Security : decoding of an almost QC random matrix (2% of columns are not random).

$$H'' = \begin{pmatrix} H & Id_n & 0 \\ S_B^t & 0 & Id_n \end{pmatrix}$$

# Parameters and complexity

**Size of key** :  $n$

**Complexity of encryption and decryption** :  $O(n\sqrt{n})$  (and  $O(n\log(n))$  asymptotically)

**Parameters with Information theory security**

$n$	$w$	sb	code C	$\epsilon$	complexity	security
313603	56	78	$bch(127, 15)$	$3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2^{24}$	$2^{80}$
500009	100	131	$bch(255, 37)$	$7 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2^{26}$	$2^{80}$

# Parameters - Encryption with errors added

$n$	$w$	$t$	sb	code C	$\epsilon$	complexity	security
4451	33	150	78	$bch(127, 51) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{35}$	$3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2^{17}$	$2^{78}$
4877	33	150	131	$bch(255, 37) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{19}$	$1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2^{17}$	$2^{78}$
4877	34	150	91	$bch(255, 51) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{19}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2^{17}$	$2^{80}$
5387	34	150	131	$bch(255, 37) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{21}$	$3 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2^{17}$	$2^{80}$
5387	34	150	91	$bch(255, 51) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{21}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$2^{17}$	$2^{80}$
5869	34	150	131	$bch(255, 51) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{23}$	$3 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$2^{18}$	$2^{80}$
7829	44	200	131	$bch(255, 37) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{31}$	$4 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2^{19}$	$2^{100}$
11483	58	250	131	$bch(255, 37) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{43}$	$7 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2^{20}$	$2^{130}$

For decoding one uses a concatenation of fast  $t$  decode BCH codes.



# Conclusion and future work

- 1 Generalization of the DH approach
- 2 New approach for code-based crypto
- 3 Unveil links between : classical crypto / post-quantum crypto / quantum crypto
- 4 Code-based encryption with NO MASKING
- 5 Information theoretic reduction to known problem
- 6 Very efficient - small size of key for weaker security assumption
- 7 Very versatile approach : lattices, rank distance, number theory...